



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/751,299	12/28/2000	Mark Madden	DIVER1440-2	DIVER1440-2 8629	
25225 75	90 12/01/2005		EXAMINER ·		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			KAM, CHIH MIN		
12531 HIGH BI	LUFF DRIVE		1071077	DARED MUADED	
SUITE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2040			1656		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/751,299	MADDEN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Chih-Min Kam	1656

	Chih-Min Kam	1656				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	iffidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.					
,	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	· ·	IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•	`	,			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because			
(c) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	, the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	elected claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	•	gootoa oranno.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	. ,,	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(1 102 02 1).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>51,53 and 62-65</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>37,33 and 02-03.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>31,32,36,37,44,49,50,52 and 54-61.</u>						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			• •			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

3

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to the claims raises new issues regarding the nitrilase encoded by a nucleic acid that hydridizes under stringent conditions to the sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 or 3, alpha-substituted carboxylic acid being R-pantolactone, and the nitrilase has an amino acid sequence having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% or 97% sequence identity to an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2 or 4, or the nitrilase encoded by a nucleic acid having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95% or 97% sequence identity to an nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 or 3 in the claimed methods, which are not examined before and would require further consideration and/or search. There are also issues regarding two claims 69 which have different scopes, and claims 69 and 70 being improper dependent claims. In the amendment of October 31, 2005, claims 31, 32, 36, 44, 49, 50, 53 and 62 would have been amended; claim 51 would have been cancelled; and claims 66-80 would have been added. Applicants' response and Exhibits A-H have also been received.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): If entered, the rejection of claims 31, 32, 36, 37, 49 and 52-65 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (571) 272-0948. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached at 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D. Patent Examiner

CMK

CMK November 16, 2005

> KATHLEEN M. KERR, PH.D. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER